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#### Abstract

It was demonstrated in the early 1990s that adenosine exerts many physiological functions through the interaction with four different receptors, named $A_{1}, A_{2 A}, A_{2 B}$, and $A_{3}$. In the past few years, our group has been involved in the devel opment of $A_{2 A}$ antagonists, which led to the synthesis of SCH 58261 (1), the first potent and selective adenosine $A_{2 A}$ antagonist, which has been widely used as a reference compound. In this paper, we present an extended series of pyrazolotriazolopyrimidines synthesized with the aim to investigate the influence of the substitutions on the pyrazole ring. The choice of the substituents was based on their capability to improve water solubility while retaining high affinity and selectivity at the human $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ adenosine receptor subtype. In this series, some structural characteristics that are important for activity, i.e., tricyclic structure, free amino group at 5-position, furan ring, and substituent at 7-position on the pyrazole moiety, have been maintained. We focused our attention on the nature of the phenyl ring substituent to improve water sol ubility. Following this strategy, we developed new compounds with good affinity and selectivity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ adenosine receptors, such as 8d ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} 0.12 \mathrm{nM}$; $\mathrm{hA}_{1} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ratio $=1025 ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}=2.8$ ), $8 \mathrm{~h}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}} 0.22 ; \mathrm{hA}_{1} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}\right.$ ratio $=9818 ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}=$ 3.4), $\mathbf{8 i}\left(K_{i} 0.18 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{hA}_{1} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}\right.$ ratio $\left.=994 ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}=2.8\right), \mathbf{8 k}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} 0.13 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{hA}_{1} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}\right.$ ratio $=4430$; $\left.R_{m}=3.6\right)$, and $\mathbf{1 4 b}\left(K_{i} 0.19 n M\right.$; $h A_{1} / h_{2 A}$ ratio $\left.=2273 ; R_{m}=2.7\right)$. All the new synthesized compounds have no significant interaction with either $A_{2 B}$ or $A_{3}$ receptor subtypes. This new series of compounds deeply enlightens some structural requirements to display high affinity and selectivity for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ adenosine receptor subtype, although our goal of identifying new compounds with increased water solubility was not completely achieved. On this basis, other strategies will be devised to improve this class of compounds with a profile that appears to be promising for treatment of neurodegenerative disorders, such as Parkinson's disease.


## Introduction

Part of the biological activity of adenosine occurs through the activation of specific receptors located on cell membranes and belonging to the extensive family of G-protein coupled receptors. ${ }^{1,2}$ Currently, four adenosine receptors have been cloned and characterized pharmacologically, namely, $A_{1}, A_{2 A}, A_{2 B}$, and $A_{3}$. The adenosine receptors are associated with different second messenger systems: $A_{1}$ and $A_{3}$ mediate adenylate cyclase inhibition, whereas $A_{2 A}$ and $A_{2 B}$ stimulate the adenylate cyclase activity controlling intracellular cyclic AMP levels. ${ }^{1}$

Recently, important progress has been made with the devel opment of selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptor antagonists having an interesting pharmacological profile. ${ }^{3,4}$ In this

[^0]field, there are a number of compounds belonging to different chemical classes possessing a common feature, namely, high $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptor affinity combined with weak interactions with other adenosine receptors. ${ }^{5,6}$
One of the most important reference compound reported is the pyrazol otriazol opyrimidine SCH 58261 (1), (5-amino-7-(2-phenyl )ethyl-2-(2-furyl) pyrazolo[4,3-e]1,2,4-triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidine), which has been widely characterized in a variety of binding and functional assays. ${ }^{7}$ From the initial work on SCH 58261 (1), we have prepared several different compounds series, bearing different substitution at the pyrazole nitrogen, such as SCH 63390 (2) and their oxygenated derivatives (3-6) (Chart 1).
The result of this effort has been an improvement of $A_{2 A}$ receptor affinity, separation from other receptors, and improved biological activity in pharmacological assays. ${ }^{8,9}$ This synthetic strategy is relevant for the identification of compounds possessing a suitable pharmacological profile in models of neurodegenerative di sorders for diagnostic ${ }^{10}$ and therapeutic uses, a target area of medical research where $A_{2 A}$ receptor antagonists can have a highly interesting perspective. ${ }^{11,2,3}$
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## Chart 2



Some characteristics of the reference compounds have to be considered important from a structure-activity relationship point of view; mainly, the tricydic structure of the pyrazolotriazolopyrimidine, the presence of the furan ring, the free amino group at the 5-position, and the arylalkyl substituent on the nitrogen at the 7-position seem to be essential for both affinity and selectivity. Thus, we decided to investigate the modifications on the phenyl ring of the side chain using different polar moieties, based upon the good results obtained with the oxygenated derivatives 3-6 (Chart 2). ${ }^{7-9}$

In particular, we conjectured to introduce different substituents, like basic or acidic moieties suitable for the preparation of salts or possible prodrugs, with the aim to modify the physicochemical properties of the compounds, maintaining the same affinity and selectivity for the adenosine $A_{2 A}$ receptor subtype. These modifications should be able to improve the water solubility of compounds that could be proposed as possible candidates for further development. In addition, a full biological characterization at all four cloned human adenosine receptor subtypes $\left(\mathrm{hA}_{1}, \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}, \mathrm{hA} \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}\right.$, $h A_{3}$ ) of the synthesized derivatives is reported.

Chemistry. In previous papers, we reported the synthesis of compounds structurally related to SCH 58261 starting from the most appropriate hydrazines in order to obtain only the 7-substituted derivatives. ${ }^{8,9}$ As mentioned above, to better evaluate the importance of the substituents on the phenyl ring in the side chain,
an enlarged series of derivatives has been prepared (Table 1).

With this aim in mind, a common key intermediate that, after alkylation with an appropriate alkyl halide, could afford the desired final compounds has been prepared. This common intermediate has been identified in 2-furan-2-yl-7H-pyrazolo[4,3-e][1,2,4]triazol o[1,5-c]-pyrimidin-5-ylamine (7), which has been synthesized as previously reported. ${ }^{8}$ This derivative could be easily alkylated, using anhydrous potassium carbonate as base in dry DMF , on the pyrazole nitrogen without competition by the amino group on the pyrimidine ring to afford a series of alkylated compounds. The two regioisomers at the 7- and the 8-positions of the pyrazolotriazolopyrimidine nucleus (ratio 3:1) were purified by flash chromatography, and only the alkylated derivatives at the 7-position were tested in biological assays ( $\mathbf{8 a}-\mathbf{g}$, Scheme 1). When the appropriate alkyl halides were not commercially available, they were synthesized by standard methods (Schemes 3 and 4). ${ }^{12-15}$ All the other compounds $\mathbf{8 h} \mathbf{- n}$ were obtained by modifications of the former analogues (Scheme 2). ${ }^{16}$

A different chemical pathway was utilized for the synthesis of the sulfo analogues of SCH 58261 (1) and its homol ogue SCH 63390 (2) due to the presence of the sulfonic moiety on the phenyl ring, which hampers the alkylation of 7 under basic conditions. To avoid these problems, the chlorosulfonic group was introduced directly onto the SCH 58261 (1) and SCH 63390 (2)

Table 1. Structures and Physicochemical Parameters of Synthesized Compounds

|  |  |  <br> 8a-n, $n=$ |  <br> $1 a-f, 12$ <br> or 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | n | R | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | mp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | MW | formula | anal. |
| 8 a | 3 | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | H |  | 245-247 | 404.38 | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | C, H, N |
| 8 b | 2 | $\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{3}$ | H |  | 205 with dec. | 402.41 | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | C, H, N |
| 8 c | 3 | $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right)_{2}$ | H |  | 176-177 | 462.50 | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | C, H, N |
| 8d | 2 | $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right)_{2}$ | H |  | 180-182 | 448.48 | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | C, H, N |
| 8 e | 3 | CN | H |  | 225-227 | 384.39 | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}$ | C, H, N |
| 8 f | 3 | COOEt | H |  | 194-195 | 431.45 | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | C, H, N |
| 8 g | 2 | $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}$ | H |  | 190-192 | 447.45 | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | C, H, N |
| 8h | 3 | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | H |  | 210-211 | 374.40 | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}$ | C, H, N |
| 8 j | 3 | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{NOH}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | H |  | 218 with dec. | 417.42 | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | C, H, N |
| 8k | 3 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | H |  | $>300$ | 388.43 | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}$ | C, H, N |
| 81 | 2 | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | H |  | 262-264 | 360.37 | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}$ | C, H, N |
| 8 m | 3 | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{NH}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | H |  | >300 | 461.42 | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | C, H, N |
| 8n | 3 | COOH | H |  | 270 | 403.39 | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | C, H, N |
| 80 | 2 | $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | H |  | >300 | 419.39 | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | C, H, N |
| 11a | 2 | $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ | H |  | >300 | 425.42 | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ | C, H, N |
| 11b | 2 | $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | H |  | 260 with dec. | 424.44 | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ | C, H, N |
| 11c | 2 | $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right)_{2}$ | H |  | 183-185 | 512.54 | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ | C, H, N |
| 11 d | 2 |  | H |  | 229-230 | 507.57 | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ | C, H, N |
| 11 e | 2 | $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right)_{2}$ | H |  | 223-225 | 549.43 | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ | C, H, N |
| 11 f | 2 | $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | H |  | 246-248 | 482.47 | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ | C, H, N |
| 12 | 3 | $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ | H |  | >300 | 439.45 | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ | C, H, N |
| 13 | 3 | H | H | $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ | $>300$ | 483.46 | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}$ | C, H, N |
| 14a | 3 | COOEt | H | H | 170-171 | 475.46 | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | C, H, N |
| 14b | 3 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | H | 100-102 | 463.45 | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | C, H, N |
| 14c | 3 | COOH | COOH | H | 180-182 | 491.41 | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ | C, H, N |
| 14d | 3 | COOH | H | H | 234-235 | 447.40 | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | C, H, N |
| 14e | 3 | COONa | COONa | H | >300 | 535.38 | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ | C, H, N |
| 14f | 3 | COOEt | COOEt | H | 158-160 | 547.52 | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ | C, H, N |

skeletons by chlorosulfonic acid to afford the corresponding derivatives $\mathbf{9}$ and 10, respectively, in very good yield. ${ }^{17}$ The latter could be easily transformed in the corresponding sulfonamido analogues $\mathbf{1 1 b}-\mathbf{f}$ through the reaction with the appropriate amines and the sulfonic acids $\mathbf{1 1 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ by hydrolysis (Scheme 5).
In a previous paper, we reported 5-amino-7-[3-[3,4(methylenedioxy)phenyl] propyl]-2-(2-furyl) pyrazolo[4,3-e]1,2,4-triazol o[1,5-c]pyrimidine (5), which was of interest for its affinity and selectivity at the human $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ adenosine receptors $\left(\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}=3.3 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{hA}_{1} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}\right.$ ratio
$=658, \mathrm{hA}_{3} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ratio $>3000$ ) and for the presence of a biologically common substituent on the pyrazole ring. ${ }^{9}$ For these reasons, we decided to modify this compound to improve its affinity and, in particular, its water solubility ( $R_{m}=3.6$ ). The goal was achieved by the introduction of a sulfonic moiety to furnish 13. A further improvement was performed by the introduction of functions, such as carboxylic and alcoholic moi eties, starting from the dihydroxy derivative $\mathbf{6}^{9}$ by reaction with diethyl dibromomalonate and subsequent reduction to the al cohol or decarboxylation (14a-e) (Scheme 6).
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## Results and Discussion

The binding data of the new series of pyrazolotriazol opyrimidines and their water solubility measured as $R_{m}$ values are reported in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. Table 2 summarizes the receptor binding affinities of $\mathbf{8 a}-\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{1 1} \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{1 2}, \mathbf{1 3}$, and 14a-e determined at the human $A_{1}, A_{2 A}, A_{2 B}$, and $A_{3}$ receptors expressed in CHO ( $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{3}$ ) or HEK-293 ( $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ) cells. [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-1,3$-Dipropyl-8-cyclopentyl xanthine ( $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-DPCPX) ${ }^{18,19}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right.$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ), [3H ]-5-amino-7-(2-phenylethyl)-2-(2-furyl)pyrazol o[4,3-
e]1,2,4triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidine ([ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-\mathrm{SCH} 58261$ ) ( $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ), ${ }^{20}$ and [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-5-(4-m e t h o x y p h e n y l$ carbamoyl )amino-8-propyl-2-(2-furyl)pyrazolo[4,3-e]1,2,4-triazol o[1,5-c]pyrimidine ([3H]-MRE 3008-F20) $\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)^{19}$ have been used as radioligands in binding assays.

All the new compounds show a good affinity and sel ectivity for $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ adenosine receptor with a different degree of selectivity versus $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$. In contrast, all the synthesized compounds resulted to be almost inactive or inactive in binding $\mathrm{hA}_{2 B}$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ receptors. This
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experimental observation further validates the efficiency and selectivity of pyrazol otriazol opyrimidine derivatives in comparison to the structurally related reference compound CGS 15943 (5-amino-9-chloro-2-(2-furyl)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]quinazol ine), which turned out to be nonselective showing binding in the nanomolar range of $\mathrm{hA}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}=4.4 \mathrm{nM}\right), \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}=0.43 \mathrm{nM}\right), \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}=\right.$ $23 \mathrm{nM})$, and $\mathrm{hA}_{3}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}=85 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ receptors. ${ }^{21}$ This finding strongly supports, as previously observed, ${ }^{21}$ that the pyrazole nitrogens also play a fundamental role in receptor recognition and discrimination and that the substitutions on the pyrazol otriazol opyrimidine nucleus modulate affinity and selectivity vs adenosine receptor subtypes.

Unfortunately, our main goal, water solubility, was not completely achieved; in fact, the two sulfonic analogues 11a and 12 was shown to be completely watersoluble, but a significant reduction of both the affinity and the selectivity was observed (11a $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}=100 \mathrm{nM}$; $\mathrm{hA}_{1} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ratio $=1.9 ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}=0.78 ; \mathbf{1 2} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}=140 ; \mathrm{hA}_{1} /$ $h A_{2 A}$ ratio $=1 ; R_{m}=0.77$ ). The other sulfo derivatives ( $\mathbf{1 1 b} \mathbf{- d}, \mathbf{f}$ ) are quite water-soluble, as indicated by the $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}$ values (Table 3). A good retention of the affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ adenosine receptor was detected (11b hA $\mathrm{AAA} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=1.31$
$\mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{hA}_{1} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ratio $=2000 ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}=2.5 ; \mathbf{1 1 c} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ $0.8 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{hA}_{1} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ratio $=3118, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}=2.7$ ). These findings were not so surprisingly in view of our hypothesis previously reported. ${ }^{9}$ Recently, on the basis of the thermodynamic studies, we hypothesized the presence of a lipophilic pocket where the side chain can take place and be able to form a hydrogen bond. Probably the lipophilic properties of this pocket hampered the adjustment of the substituent, possibly due to the anionic form of the sulfonic moieties at the physiological pH . The parameters of water solubility and receptor affinity suggest that compounds $\mathbf{1 1 b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$ are the best compounds in terms of human $A_{2 A}$ affinity in the series of the sulfonic derivatives. Moreover, they support the hypothesis of a hydrogen bond, during the adjustment of the side chain in this pocket. In this family of compounds, 11e was prepared in analogy with nitrogen mustards with the aim to obtain an irreversible $A_{2 A}$ antagonist to more deeply investigate the biochemical characteristics of this receptor subtype. ${ }^{22}$ Surprisingly, 11e showed a reversible antagonism similar to that of the other reported compounds, displaying an interesting affinity and selectivity for the human $A_{2 A}$ adenosine receptor $\left(\mathbf{1 1 e} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}=0.59 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{hA}_{1} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}\right.$ ratio $=8977$; $\left.R_{m}=3.8\right)$, although the water solubility, measured by the $R_{m}$ value, was shown to be poor as expected.
To retain the water solubility of 11a and $\mathbf{1 2}$ and to restore the affinity and selectivity for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptor subtype, a weaker acidic function on the phenyl ring, such as the carboxylic moiety or its ethyl ester, was introduced. The affinity of the $\mathbf{8 m}$ for human $A_{2 A}$ adenosine receptor was acceptable and comparable to the corresponding unsubstituted reference compound SCH 63390 (2), but the water solubility was lower than that of the sulfonic derivative 12, although the $R_{m}$ value was better than that of reference compound $\mathbf{2}\left(8 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}\right.$ $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=4.4 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{hA}_{1} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=1128 ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}=2.6 ;$ SCH 63390, 2, $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}=1.2 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{hA}_{1} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=291 ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}=3.6 ; \mathbf{1 2} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=140 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{hA}_{1} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=1 ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}=0.77$ ). Moreover, the carboxylic function, when introduced on the skeleton of 5, was successful in terms of the water solubility as shown by the $R_{m}$ values of its analogues, i.e., the di carboxylic derivative 14c ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}=0.18$ ) and its sodium salt 14e ( $\left.R_{m}=0.16\right)$, but the affinity for the human $A_{2 A}$
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adenosine receptor strongly decreased ( $\mathbf{1 4 c} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ 120 nM ; hA $\mathrm{h}_{1} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=78$; 14e hA $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}=120 \mathrm{nM}$; hA $/$ $h A_{2 A}=79$ ), displaying $K_{i}$ values comparable to the
sulfonic derivatives $\mathbf{1 1 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$. The monocarboxylated compound 14d and mainly its ethyl ester 14a showed a good affinity for human $A_{2 A}$ adenosine receptor, but

Table 2. Binding Affinity at $h A_{1}, h A_{2 A}, h A_{2 B}$, and $h A_{3}$ Adenosine Receptors of Synthesized Compounds

| compd | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{hA}_{1}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $h \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{hA}_{3}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{hA}_{1} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ | $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ | $\mathrm{hA}_{3} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ |
| 1 SCH 58261 | 549 (322-987) | 1.1 (0.75-1.6) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 499 | >9 090 | >9 090 |
| 2 SCH 63390 | 350 (332-370) | 1.2 (1.03-1.4) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 291 | > 8333 | >8333 |
| 8a | 1026 (785-1341) | 1.00 (0.94-1.27) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 1026 | > 10000 | > 10000 |
| 8b | 419 (374-470) | 4.8 (4.58-5.03) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 87 | > 2083 | > 2083 |
| 8c | 558 (467-667) | 1.1 (0.9-1.3) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 507 | >9 090 | >9 090 |
| 8d | 123 (98-154) | 0.12 (0.1-0.16) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 1025 | >10 000 | >10 000 |
| 8 e | 6496 (6024-7006) | 86 (77-96) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 75.5 | > 116 | > 116 |
| $8 f$ | 4494 (4040-5000) | 4.0 (3.6-4.5) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 1123 | >2500 | >2500 |
| 8 g | 4197 (3844-4581) | 0.43 (0.4-0.47) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 9760 | $>10000$ | $>10000$ |
| 8h | 2160 (1816-2569) | 0.22 (0.16-0.31) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 9818 | $>10000$ | $>10000$ |
| $8 \mathbf{i}$ | 179 (146-220) | 0.18 (0.15-0.22) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 994 | >10 000 | >10 000 |
| 8j | 60 (55-70) | 6.0 (5.2-7.6) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 10 | > 1666 | >1666 |
| 8k | 576 (532-625) | 0.13 (0.10-0.17) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 4430 | $>10000$ | >10 000 |
| 81 | 75 (66-85) | 55 (49-61) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 1.36 | > 181 | > 181 |
| 8m | 4965 (4691-5255) | 4.4 (4.1-4.7) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 1128 | >2 272 | >2 272 |
| 8 n | 4927 (4392-5528) | 4.63 (4.28-5.01) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 1064 | >2 160 | >2 160 |
| 11a | 190 (172-209) | 100 (89-112) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 1.9 | > 100 | > 100 |
| 11b | 2630 (2366-2924) | 1.31 (1.18-1.43) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 2007 | > 7633 | > 7633 |
| 11c | 2495 (2153-2891) | 0.80 (0.69-0.92) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 3118 | >10 000 | >10 000 |
| 11d | 369 (324-420) | 3.8 (3.4-4.2) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 97 | >2631 | >2631 |
| 11e | 5297 (4943-5678) | 0.59 (0.49-0.70) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 8977 | >10 000 | >10 000 |
| 11f | 9330 (8783-9911) | 50.0 (48.9-52.4) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 186 | > 200 | > 200 |
| 12 | 139 (107-181) | 140 (129-152) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 1 | > 714 | > 714 |
| 13 | 6392 (5697-7171) | 75 (66-85) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 85 | > 133 | > 133 |
| 14a | 1793 (1460-2201) | 5.48 (4.95-6.08) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 327 | >1824 | >1824 |
| 14b | 432 (363-514) | 0.19 (0.16-0.21) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 2273 | >10 000 | >10 000 |
| 14c | 9399 (9038-9773) | 120 (98-144) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 78 | > 83 | >83 |
| 14d | 3599 (3420-3788) | 59 (49-72) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 61 | > 169 | > 169 |
| 14e | 9533 (9257-9817) | 120 (98-144) | > 10000 | > 10000 | 79 | > 83 | > 83 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Displacement of specific [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-DPCPX binding at human $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ receptors expressed in CHO cells ( $\mathrm{n}=3-6$ ). ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Displacement of specific $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] S C H 58261$ binding at human $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptors expressed in HEK-293 cells. ${ }^{c}$ Displacement of specific $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-DPCPX binding at human $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ receptors expressed in HEK-293 cells ( $\mathrm{n}=3-6$ ). ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Displacement of specific [ 3 H ]-MRE 3008-F 20 binding at human $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptors expressed in CHO cells. Data are expressed as geometric means, with $95 \%$ confidence limits.

Table 3. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}$ Values of Synthesized Compounds Measured at pH 7.0 by TLC in Methanol-Water System

| compd | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}(0)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | compd | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}(0)^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{8 a}$ | $3.9 \pm 0.2$ | $\mathbf{1 1 a}$ | $0.78 \pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathbf{8 b}$ | $2.7 \pm 0.2$ | $\mathbf{1 1 \mathbf { b }}$ | $2.5 \pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathbf{8 c}$ | $2.4 \pm 0.2$ | $\mathbf{1 1 c}$ | $2.7 \pm 0.2$ |
| $\mathbf{8 d}$ | $2.8 \pm 0.2$ | 11d | $1.9 \pm 0.2$ |
| $\mathbf{8 e}$ | $2.9 \pm 0.2$ | $\mathbf{1 1 e}$ | $3.8 \pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathbf{8 f}$ | $3.0 \pm 0.1$ | $\mathbf{1 1 f}$ | $2.2 \pm 0.2$ |
| $\mathbf{8 g}$ | $3.3 \pm 0.1$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $0.77 \pm 0.2$ |
| $\mathbf{8 h}$ | $3.4 \pm 0.2$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $1.2 \pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathbf{8 i}$ | $2.8 \pm 0.2$ | $\mathbf{1 4 a}$ | $3.4 \pm 0.2$ |
| $\mathbf{8 j}$ | $2.5 \pm 0.2$ | $\mathbf{1 4 b}$ | $2.7 \pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathbf{8 k}$ | $3.6 \pm 0.2$ | $\mathbf{1 4 c}$ | $0.18 \pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathbf{8 l}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 9} \pm 0.2$ | $\mathbf{1 4 d}$ | $2.1 \pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathbf{8 m}$ | $2.6 \pm 0.2$ | $\mathbf{1 4 e}$ | $0.16 \pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathbf{8 n}$ | $2.1 \pm 0.2$ |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The $R_{m}$ values were measured with a mobile phase of different concentrations of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Rm values are reported as theoretical at $0 \%$ organic solvent in the mobile phase ( $R_{m}(0)$ ).
their $R_{m}$ values were not encouraging (14d $h A_{2 A} K_{i}=$ $59 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{hA}_{1} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=61 ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}=2.1$; 14a $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}=5.48$ $\left.n M ; h A_{1} / h A_{2 A}=327 ; R_{m}=3.4\right)$. On the whole, none of these derivatives showed an interesting profile at the human $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ adenosine receptor, strongly supporting the negative influence of acidic moieties for the $h_{2 A}$ affinity, which seems strictly correlated to the nature of the function on the phenyl ring. Better results were obtained with the corresponding dihydroxy derivative 14b, which shows again a good affinity but lower water solubility ( $\mathbf{1 4 b} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}=0.19 \mathrm{nM}$; $\mathrm{hA}_{1} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ratio $=$ 2,273; $R_{m}=2.7$ ). Also in this case, we tried to introduce the sulfonic moiety on 5 but, as expected, both the affinity and the selectivity at the human $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ adenosine
receptor were significantly reduced ( $\mathbf{1 3} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}=75$ $\mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{hA}_{1} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ratio $=85 ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}=1.2$ ).

The cyano derivative 8e showed a poor affinity for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptor subtype, but it was a useful key-intermediate for obtaining the aminomethyl analogue $8 \mathbf{j}$, the N -hydroxyamidine $\mathbf{8 i}$ and the amidine $8 \mathbf{8}$, which showed a restored affinity for this receptor subtype. In particular, the compound $\mathbf{8 i}$ displayed a good affinity for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptor and a better water solubility with respect to the reference compound SCH 63390 (2) ( $8 \mathrm{i} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ $=0.18 \mathrm{nM} \mathrm{hA} \mathrm{h}_{1} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ratio $=994 ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}=2.8 ; \mathrm{SCH} 63390$, 2, $R_{m}=3.6$ ).

Considering the importance of a moiety on the phenyl ring of the side chain capable of a hydrogen bond, we also investigated the modification of the hydroxy group of 3 previously described. ${ }^{9}$ In particular, we synthesized $\mathbf{8 g}$ and $\mathbf{8 n}$ structurally related to the selective $A_{2 A}$ agonist CGS 21680 (2-[4-(2-carboxyethyl)phenethyl-amino]-5'-N-ethylcarboxamido adenosine). ${ }^{23} \mathbf{8 g}$ showed a high affinity but a low water solubility differently from the derivative $\mathbf{8 n}$, which had exactly an opposite behavior, confirming a common trend $\left(\mathbf{8 g} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}=0.43\right.$ $n M ; h A_{1} / h_{2 A}$ ratio $=9760 ; R_{m}=3.3 ; 8 n h A_{2 A} K_{i}=4.63$ $n M ; h A_{1} / h_{2 A}$ ratio $=1064 ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}=2.1$ ).

Finally, we decided to investigate the modification of the amino group on the phenyl ring, with the aim to obtain soluble salts, even though the basicity of an aromatic amino group is not significantly high. This choice was fruitful mainly in regards of affinity for the adenosine $A_{2 A}$ receptor subtype, as shown in Table 2. The two derivatives $\mathbf{8 h}$ and $\mathbf{8 k}$ possess high affinity and selectivity for the human $A_{2 A}$ adenosine receptor, even
though the water solubility was not significantly increased ( $8 \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}=0.22 \mathrm{nM}$; hA $\mathrm{h}_{1} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ratio $=9818$; $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}=3.4 ; \mathbf{8 k} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}=0.13 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{hA}_{1} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=4430 ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}$ = 3.6). However, from these results, the latter compounds appear to be more promising for further pharmacological studies, possibly as prodrugs.

## Conclusions

In conclusion, the present study confirmed the importance of some common structural parameters required to maintain affinity and selectivity for the human $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ adenosine receptor in the SCH 58261 series. Therefore, the series of compounds (retaining the pyrazolotriazol opyrimidine nucleus, a free amino group at the 5-position, the furan ring, and the substituent at the 7-position) still displays good affinity and selectivity for this receptor subtype. Unfortunately, our rationale of designing a new compound endowed with both water solubility and affinity was not achieved, which can be explained in part by Lipinski's rules. ${ }^{24}$ Anyway, the study herein presented provides new useful information about the structural requirements necessary for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ antagonist receptor recognition.

## Experimental Section

Chemistry. Reaction courses and product mixtures were routinely monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on silica gel (precoated $\mathrm{F}_{254}$ Merck plates) and visualized with iodine or aqueous potassium permanganate. Infrared spectra (IR) were measured on a Perkin-Elmer 257 instruments. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR were determined in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{DMSO}^{2} \mathrm{~d}_{6}$ solutions with a Bruker AC 200 spectrometer; peaks positions are given in parts per million ( $\delta$ ) downfield from tetramethylsilane as internal standard; and J values are given in hertz. Light petroleum refers to the fractions boiling at $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Melting points were determined on a Buchi-Tottoli instrument and are uncorrected. Chromatography was performed with Merck 230-400 mesh silica gel. All products reported showed IR and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra in agreement with the assigned structures. Organic solutions were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. Elemental analyses were performed by the microanalytical Iaboratory of Dipartimento di Chimi ca, University of Ferrara, and were within $\pm 0.4 \%$ of the theoretical values for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, and N .

Synthesis of 3-(4-Cyanophenyl)propanol (16). 4-Cyanobenzaldehyde ( $\mathbf{1 5}, 5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.038 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and triphenylphosphoranylidene acetic acid ethyl ester ( $16 \mathrm{~g}, 0.045 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were dissolved in dry benzene ( 70 mL ), and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 12 h . Then the solvent was removed under vacuum, and the residue was taken up with light petroleum; the solid that formed was removed by filtration, and the organic phase was evaporated to give 3-(4-cyano-phenyl)-3-propenoic acid ethyl ester as a yellow oil. The oil $(4.59 \mathrm{~g}, 0.022 \mathrm{~mol})$, without any further purification, was dissolved in absolute ethanol ( 100 mL ) and added dropwise to a suspension of sodium borohydride ( $8.55 \mathrm{~g}, 0.22 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in ethanol ( 100 mL ) cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was allowed to reach room temperature, and stirring was maintained for 18 h after which time the mixture was poured onto water and extracted with ethyl acetate several times. The combined organic solutions were washed twice with aqueous 2 N HCl $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and finally once with water ( 30 mL ). The organic phase was dried, and subsequent evaporation of the solvent gave 16 as a light yellow oil ( $3.22 \mathrm{~g}, 53 \%$ yield from 15). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $1.86-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 3.65-$ $3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8) . \mathrm{IR}$ (neat): 3403, 2228, 1607. Anal. ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Synthesis of Ethyl 4-(3-Hydroxypropyl)benzoate (17). A stirred solution of $\mathbf{1 6}(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 5 mL of $95 \%$ ethanol and concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(30 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was refluxed for 24 h. Workup consisted of adding $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water (3
mL ) to the cooled reaction mixture and separating the layers. The organic layer was washed with water, $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, and saturated NaCl solution; dried; and evaporated to obtain 17 as an oil ( $0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 1.39(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 2.06-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.88$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6), 4.36(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 7.25-7.29(\mathrm{~m}$, 3 H ), 7.97 ( $\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6$ ). IR (neat): 3415,1715 . Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ C, H.

Synthesis of 2-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)ethanol (19). 4-Hydroxyphenylacetic acid ( $\mathbf{1 8}, 5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.032 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was refluxed in ethanol ( 50 mL ) in the presence of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(50 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ for 3 h , then the sol vent was removed, and the residue ( $5.58 \mathrm{~g}, 0.031 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissol ved in dry THF ( 15 mL ). This solution was added dropwise to a suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(1.76 \mathrm{~g}, 0.046 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry THF ( 30 mL ) cool ed at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was allowed to reach room temperature and was stirred for 3 h . The solution was cooled again to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and water was added slowly to precipitate salts that were filtered off. The filtrate was dried and evaporated to furnish the desired compound as an oil ( 4.2 g , $95 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 2.74 (t, 2H, J = 8), 3.79 (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ 6), 10.51 (bs, 1H). IR (neat): 3435 . Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ) C, H.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Chloro Derivatives ( $20-22$ ). A solution of alcohol ( 0.066 mol ) and pyridine ( 5.5 mL ) in dry benzene ( 40 mL ) cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise of a solution of thionyl chloride ( 9.5 mL ) in dry benzene $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at room temperature for 2 h . At the end of this time, the mixture was cooled again at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and water ( 50 mL ) was added. The organic layer was first washed twice with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, then dried, and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (EtOAcllight petroleum 20\%).

3-(4-Cyanophenyl)propyl Chloride (20). 89\% yield; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 2.05-2.15 (m, 2H), $2.84(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7$ ), $3.52(\mathrm{t}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7$ ), $7.32(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8)$. $I \mathrm{R}$ (neat): 2261. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{ClN}$ ) C, H, N.

Ethyl 4-(3-Chloropropyl)benzoate (21). 91\% yield; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $1.38(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 2.05-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{t}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 3.51(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 4.36(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=8$ ), 7.96 ( $\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8$ ). IR (neat): 1716. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{ClO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.

2-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)ethyl Chloride (22). 88\% yield; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl 3 ): $3.01(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7), 3.67(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8$ ), $7.14(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 10.3$ (bs, 1H). IR (neat): 3355. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{ClO}$ ) C, H .

Synthesis of Ethyl 4-(2-Chloroethyl)phenoxyacetate (23). Compound $22(0.86 \mathrm{~g}, 5.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.76 \mathrm{~g}, 5.5$ mmol ) were suspended in dry DMF ( 10 mL ), stirred at room temperature for 20 min , and then ethyl bromoacetate $(0.6 \mathrm{~mL}$, 5.5 mmol ) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h , then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was suspended in water (20 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( $20 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer, dried and evaporated, afforded 23 as an oil ( $1.23 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 1.3(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7), 3.0(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 3.67(\mathrm{t}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 4.26(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7), 4.6(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8)$, 7.14 (d, 2H, J = 8). IR (neat): 1752. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{ClO}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.

Synthesis of 2-(4-Nitrophenyl)ethyl Chloride and 3-(4Nitrophenyl)propyl Chloride (26, 27). The chloro derivatives 24 or $\mathbf{2 5}(0.076 \mathrm{~mol})$ were cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $90 \% \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise, and the mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 20 min . Then water ( 100 mL ) was added slowly and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{E} \mathrm{t}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ light petroleum $15 \%$; $30 \%$ ortho and 70\% para nitro derivatives).

2-(4-Nitrophenyl)ethyl Chloride (26). Y ellow solid (68\% yield), mp 44-45 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $3.21(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7$ ), $3.8(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 8.19(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8) . I R$ (neat): $1495,1324,839$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.

3-(4-Nitrophenyl)propyl Chloride (27). Yellow oil (67\% yield); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 2.31-2.36 (m, 2H), $3.2(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ 7), $3.81(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8)$. IR (neat): $1505,1335,840$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.

Synthesis of 2-(4-Aminophenyl)ethyl Chloride (28). 2-(4-Nitrophenyl) ethyl chloride 26 ( $1.24 \mathrm{~g}, 6.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ ( 30 mL ) in the presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(65 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was reducted in a Parr apparatus under pressure ( 40 psi ) for 10 min . The catalyst was removed by filtration on a pad of Celite, and the filtrate was evaporated to give 28 ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ yield) as an oil, which was used in the next step without further purification.

Synthesis of 2-[(4-Acetylamino)phenyl]ethyl Chloride (29). The 2-(4-aminophenyl)ethyl chloride 28 ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 6.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in pyridine ( 20 mL ) and acetic anhydride ( $2.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were stirred at room temperature for 24 h . Then, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was suspended in water ( 70 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( $20 \times$ 3 mL ). The organic phase, dried and evaporated, furnished the compound as white solid ( $0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ yield); mp $117-119{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 2.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7), 3.68(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 7.43(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8)$. IR (KBr): 3425, 1654. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{ClO}$ ) C, H.

Synthesis of 2-[(4-N,N-Bis- $\beta$-hydroxyethylamino)phenyl]ethyl Chloride (30). To a suspension of 2-(4-aminophenyl)ethyl chloride $28(1 \mathrm{~g}, 6.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $25 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, ethylene oxide ( 3.6 mL ) was added with swirling. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h , after which the cear solution was made slightly basic with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with EtOAc $(30 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$. After being dried, the solvent was removed in a vacuum to afford 30 as an oil ( $1.48 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 2.95(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 3.52(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4), 3.65$ (t, 2H, J = 8), 3.79 (t, 4H, J = 4), 4.05 (bs, 2H), $6.61(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=8), 7.1$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8$ ). IR (neat): 3413, 786. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{ClNO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Synthesis of 3-(4-Aminophenyl)propyl Chloride (31). 3-(4-Nitrophenyl)propyl chloride 27 ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ ), in the presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(50 \mathrm{mg})$, was reducted in a Parr apparatus under pressure ( 40 psi ) for 10 min . The catalyst was removed by filtration on a pad of Celite, and the filtrate was evaporated to give the compound as an oil, which was used in the next step without further purification ( 0.82 g, $97 \%$ yield).

Synthesis of 3-[(4-N,N-Bis- $\beta$-hydroxyethylamino)phenyl]propyl Chloride (32). To a suspension of 3-(4-aminophenyl) propyl chloride 31 ( 0.5 g 2.9 mmol ) in $25 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$ $(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ ), ethylene oxide ( 1.8 mL ) was added with swirling. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h , after which the clear solution was made slightly basic with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $15 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). After being dried, the sol vent was removed in a vacuum to afford 32 as an oil ( 0.69 g, 92\% yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 1.98-2.06 (m, 2H), 2.67 ( t , $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 3.48-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4), 3.91(\mathrm{bs}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 7.5(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8)$. IR (neat): 3388 , 851. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{CINO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

General Procedure for Alkylation of 2-Furan-2-yl-7H-pyrazolo[4,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidin-5-ylamine (8a-g). To a mixture of $\mathbf{7}^{8}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry DMF ( 10 mL ), the opportune hal ide ( 0.45 mmol ) was added, and the mixture was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $6-8 \mathrm{~h}$. Then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was suspended in water ( 20 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( $15 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic phase was dried, evaporated, and purified by flash chromatography (EtOAclight petroleum 50-80\%).

8a. Light yellow solid ( $56 \%$ yield); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $2.03-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 4.23(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 6.49$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 6.72-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4), 7.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. IR (KBr): 3397, 1551, 1349, 723.

8b. White solid ( $61 \%$ yield); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 1.99 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 4.45(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 6.72-6.74(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ 8), $7.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.85(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. IR (KBr): 3423, 3354, 1655, 678.

8c. White solid (61\% yield); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): 2.05-2.09 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 3.34(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6), 3.49(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=6), 4.25(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 4.72(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6), 6.58(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8), 6.72-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4)$,
7.94 (s, 1H), 8.08 (bs, 2H), 8.16 (s, 1H). IR (KBr): 3470, 3378, 729.

8d. White solid ( $63 \%$ yield); ${ }^{1}$ H NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 3.01 (t, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 3.31-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.47-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=8), 4.72(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 6.71-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.95(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4), 7.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{bs}$, 2H), 8.16 (s, 1H). IR (KBr): 3451, 3354, 814.

8e. Off-white solid ( $65 \%$ yield); ${ }^{1}$ H NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): 2.22$2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 4.33(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 6.62-6.65$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.2(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=8), 7.7(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}): 3351$, 2262, 842.

8f. Off-white solid ( $56 \%$ yield); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : 1.37 ( t , $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7), 2.27-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 4.33-4.42$ (m, 4H), 5.93 (bs, 2H), 6.59-6.62 (m, 1H), 7.23-7.27 (m,3H), 7.64 (s, 1H), 7.94 (d, 2H, J = 8), 8.21 (s, 1H). IR (KBr): 3346, 1758, 789.

8g. White solid ( $58 \%$ yield); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }^{6}$ ): 3.1 ( $\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=8), 4.43(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 4.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.71-6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.79(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4)$, 7.93 (s, 1H), 8.08 (bs, 2H), 8.15 (s, 1H). IR (KBr): 3458, 1747, 1112, 616.
Synthesis of 7-[3-(4-Aminophenyl)propyl]-2-furan-2-yl-7H-pyrazolo[4,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidin-5ylamine ( 8 h ). Compound $8 \mathrm{a}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was suspended in dry dioxane ( 3 mL ) and 10\% Pd/C ( 3 mg ) and hydrazine monohydrate ( $0.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. The mixture was refluxed for 45 min , then the catalyst was removed by filtration over a bed of Celite, and the filtrate was dried and evaporated to afford the compound in quantitative yield as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 2.03-2.07 (m, 2H), 2.42 (t, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 4.23(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 4.84(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4), 6.47(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=8), 6.72-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=4), 7.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . I \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{KBr}): 3435$, 3379, 757.

Synthesis of 7-[3-(4-Aminophenyl)ethyl]-2-furan-2-yl-7H-pyrazolo[4,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidin-5ylamine ( 8 k ). Compound $\mathbf{8 b}$ ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.145 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in a mixture of dioxane ( 10 mL ) and aqueous $10 \%$ $\mathrm{HCl}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ and refluxed for 2 h . The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure, the pH was adjusted around 7 with aqueous $5 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$, and it was extracted with EtOAc ( $10 \times 3$ mL ). The organic layer was dried and evaporated to give the compound as a pale yellow solid ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $)^{2}$ : $2.97(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8$ ), $4.38(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 4.86(\mathrm{bs}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 6.72-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ 8), $7.21(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4), 7.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. IR (KBr): 3415, 3386, 754.

General Procedure for Synthesis of $\{4$-[3-(5-Amino-2-furan-2-ylpyrazolo[4,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidin-7-yl)ethyl]phenoxy acetic Acid (8n) and 4-[3-(5-Amino-2-furan-2-ylpyrazolo[4,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidin-7-yl)propyl ]benzoic Acid (8m). The ester, $\mathbf{8 f}$ or $\mathbf{8 g}$, ( 0.082 mmol ) was suspended in aqueous $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and refluxed for 30 min . The solution was cooled, and the pH was adjusted to around 7 with aqueous $5 \% \mathrm{HCl}$. The precipitate was filtered and dried under vacuum.

8n. White solid ( $98 \%$ yield); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): 3.1 ( $\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=8), 4.44(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 4.58(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.78(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4)$, $7.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 13.01(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \operatorname{IR}$ ( KBr ): 3437, 1702, 616.

8m. White solid (97\% yield); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): 2.27$2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 4.32(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 6.59-6.72$ (m, 1H), 7.23-7.27 (m, 3H), $7.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8)$, 8.07 (bs, 2H), 8.15 (s, 1H), 12.56 (bs, 1H). IR (KBr): 3478, 1712, 658.

Synthesis of 7-[3-(4-Aminomethylphenyl)propyl]-2-furan-2-yl-7H-pyrazolo[4,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimi-din-5-ylamine Hydrochloride (8j). The hydrogenation of $\mathbf{8 e}$ ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.026 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in $\mathrm{EtOH}\left(20 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ) and $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ( 5 mL ), was carried out on a Parr apparatus ( $\mathrm{PtO}_{2} 0.65 \mathrm{mg}$, 45 psi, 2.5 h ) followed by filtration through Celite and evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure. The residue
was crystallized from MeOH to give the compound as a white solid (95\% yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): 2.08-2.16 (m, 2H), 2.61 $(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 3.95(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 6.72-6.74(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ 8), $7.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.1(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{bs}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \operatorname{IR}$ (KBr): 3402, 2924, 1596, 764.

Synthesis of 4-[3-(5-Amino-2-furan-2-ylpyrazolo[4,3-e]-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidin-7-yl)ethyl]-N-hydroxybenzamidine ( 8 i ). A suspension of $8 \mathrm{e}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.052 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), hydroxylamine hydrochloride ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and triethylamine ( $0.036 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 3 mL ) was stirred with heating at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The right compound was filtered after precipitation from the cooled mixture as a white solid (MeOH, 96\% yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ : $2.12-2.21$ (m, 2H), 2.61 (t, 2H, J = 8), 4.27 (t, 2H, J = 8), 5.76 (bs, 2H), 6.72$6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.2-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 7.94(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H), 8.08 (bs, 2H), 8.16 (s, 1H), 9.56 (s, 1H). IR (KBr): 3457, 3245, 1678, 689.

Synthesis of 4-[3-(5-Amino-2-furan-2-ylpyrazolo[4,3-e]-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidin-7-yl)propyl]benzamidine Acetic Acid Salt (81). To a solution of $8 \mathbf{i}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.048$ mmol ) in acetic acid ( 10 mL ), acetic anhydride ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.1$ equiv) and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(0.5 \mathrm{mg})$ were added, and the mixture was poured in a Parr apparatus (40 psi, 24 h ). Then the catalyst was removed by filtration through a bed of Celite, and the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the desired compound as a white solid (MeOH, 69\% yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO d ${ }_{6}$ ): $1.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.5(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ 8), 3.39 (bs, 1H), 4.18-4.28 (m, 3H), 6.72-6.74 (m, 1H), 7.22 $(d, 1 H, J=4), 7.44(d, 2 H, J=8), 7.71-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H), 8.19 (bs, 3H). IR (KBr): 3342, 2989, 1678, 685.

General Procedure for Synthesis of 4-[3-(5-Amino-2-furan-2-ylpyrazolo[4,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidin-$7-\mathrm{yl})$ ethyl]benzenesulfonyl Chloride (9) and 4-[3-(5-Amino-2-furan-2-ylpyrazolo[4,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidin-7-yl)propyl]benzenesulfonyl Chloride (10). The tricydic derivative, SCH 582611 or SCH 633902 ( 0.35 mmol ), was added in small portions to the chlorosulfonic acid $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at this temperature for 1 h , and then water ( 15 mL ) was added carefully keeping the temperature at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The white precipitate was filtered off and immediately used for the next step (98\% yield).

General Procedure for Synthesis of 4-[3-(5-Amino-2-furan-2-ylpyrazolo[4,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidin-7-yl) ethyl]benzenesulfonic acid (11a) and 4-[3-(5-Amino-2-furan-2-ylpyrazolo[4,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidin-7-yl)propyl]benzenesulfonic Acid (12). To a solution of the chlorosulfonyl derivative, $\mathbf{9}$ or 10, $(0.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane ( 2 $\mathrm{mL})$, aqueous $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 days. Then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was taken up several times with benzene and methanol. 11a or $\mathbf{1 2}$ were purified by preparative HPLC in 25 min at a flow rate of 30 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ (reversed phase column; gradient elution $0-60 \%$ solvent B, where solvent A is $10 \%$ (v/v) acetonitrile in $0.1 \%$ TFA and solvent B is $60 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ acetonitrile in $0.1 \%$ TFA).

11a. White solid ( $60 \%$ yield); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): 3.01 ( $\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=6), 4.14(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6), 6.36-6.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75-6.76(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12$ (d, 2H, J = 8), 7.39 (s, 1H), 7.58 (d, 2H, J = 8), 7.69 (s, 1H). IR (KBr): 3040, 1667, 1350, 1165.
12. White solid ( $65 \%$ yield); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): 2.19-2.22 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6), 4.16(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6), 6.64-6.65(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ 8), 7.71 (s, 1H), 8.0 (s, 1H). IR (KBr): 3050, 1667, 1352, 1160.

Synthesis of 4-[3-(5-Amino-2-furan-2-ylpyrazolo[4,3-e]-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidin-7-yl)ethyl]benzenesulfonamide (11b). In a solution of the crude chlorosulfonyl derivative $9(0.338 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry dioxane $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was bubbled $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ till saturation of the solvent. The precipitate that formed was filtered off, and the filtrate was purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc 100\%) to afford 11b as a white solid (yield 63\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }^{2}$ ): 3.27-3.39 (m, 4H), 4.53 (t, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6), 6.71-6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}$,
$2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8$ ), $7.69(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 7.94(\mathrm{bs}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{IR}$ (KBr): 3339, 1667, 1330, 1157.

Synthesis of 4-[3-(5-Amino-2-furan-2-ylpyrazolo[4,3-e]-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidin-7-yl)ethyl]-N,N-bis-(2-hydroxyethyl)benzenesulfonamide (11c). The diethanolamine ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of the crude $9(0.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry dioxane ( 10 mL ), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h . Then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc 100\%) to furnish 11c as a white solid ( $65 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): 3.04 (t, 4H, J = $6), 3.27(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.6), 3.41-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $6.6), 4.81(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6), 6.72-6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ 4), $7.35(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 7.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08$ (bs, 2H), 8.16 (s,1H). IR (KBr): 3200, 1667, 1340, 1160.

Synthesis of 2-F uran-2-yl-7-\{3-[4-(4-methylpiperazine-1-sulfonyl)phenylethyl\}-7H-pyrazolo[4,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo-[1,5-c]pyrimidin-5-ylamine (11d). The crude 9 ( 0.52 mmol ) in dry dioxane ( 10 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added to 1-methylpiperazine ( $104 \mathrm{mg}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . Then the solvent was removed, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc 100\%) to give 11d as a pale yellow solid (64\% yield). ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 2.24 (s, 3H), 2.39 (t, 4H, J = 4.2), $2.79(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.2), 3.3(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6), 4.59(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6)$, $6.62-6.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.2), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8)$, $7.5(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 7.63(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{IR}$ (KBr): 3265, 1667, 1350, 1160.

Synthesis of 4-[3-(5-Amino-2-furan-2-ylpyrazolo[4,3-e]-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidin-7-yl)ethyl]-N,N-bis-(2-chloroethyl)benzenesulfonamide (11e). To a solution of crude $9(0.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ and TEA ( $73 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4$ equiv) in dry dioxane ( 10 mL ) cooled at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, bis(2-chloroethyl)amine hydrochloride ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) was added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h . Then the solvent was removed under vacuum, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (EtOAclight petroleum 50\%) to afford the desired 11e as an off-white solid ( $68 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 3.32-3.41 (m, 6H), $3.64(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.8$ ), $4.54(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6), 6.71-6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3)$, $7.34(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 7.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{bs}$, 2H), 8.15 (s, 1H). IR (KBr): 3210, 1667, 1355, 1170.
Synthesis of $\{4$-[3-(5-Amino-2-furan-2-ylpyrazolo[4,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidin-7-yl)propyl]benzenesulfonylamino\}acetic Acid (11f). A solution of crude 9 (0.5 mmol ) in dry dioxane ( 10 mL ) was added slowly to a solution of glycine ethyl ester hydrochloride ( $69 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TEA $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry dioxane ( 4 mL ), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . Then the sol vent was removed, and the residue was dissolved in EtOAc ( 15 mL ) and washed with saturated NaCl sol ution $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was dried and evaporated. The residue was dissolved in a mixture of THF/CH 3 OH/H2O (4:1:1, 3 mL ), and lithium hydroxide monohydrate ( 10.8 mg ) was added. The mixture was stirred for 12 h at room temperature, and then it was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was acidified to pH 3 with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$, and the precipitate was filtered off. The compound was crystallized (dioxane/ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) to afford a pale yellow solid (63\% yield, two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }^{6}$ ): 3.07 $(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7), 3.49-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7), 6.72-$ $6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.6), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8), 7.95(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.1(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}):$ 3265, 1667, 1350, 1155.

## Synthesis of 5-[3-(5-Amino-2-furan-2-ylpyrazolo[4,3-e]-

 [1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidin-7-yl)propyl]benzo[1,3]dioxole 2,2-Dicarboxylic Acid Ethyl Ester (14f). To a suspension of $6(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(120 \mathrm{mg}, 3.5$ equiv) in acetone ( 4 mL ), diethyl dibromomalonate ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 1$ equiv) in acetone ( 2 mL ) was added dropwise, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h . Then EtOAc (20 mL ) was added and washed with water ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ); the organic phase was dried and evaporated under vacuum to furnish $\mathbf{1 4 f}$ as off-white solid ( $72 \%$ yield; acetone/DMF). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $1.34(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7), 2.19-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{t}$,$2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.4), 4.27(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.4), 4.35(\mathrm{q}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7), 6.15(\mathrm{bs}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.59-6.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.7-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5)$, $7.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.2$ (s, 1H). IR (KBr): 3278, 1745, 677.

Synthesis of 5-[3-(5-Amino-2-furan-2-ylpyrazolo[4,3-e]-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidin-7-yl)propyl]benzo[1,3]dioxole 2-Carboxylic Acid Ethyl Ester (14a). To a solution of $\mathbf{1 4 f}(0.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO ( 2 mL ) and 1 drop of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaCl}$ ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 1$ equiv) was added, and the mixture was heated at 160 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . Then water was added ( 20 mL ), and the solution was extracted with EtOAc $(4 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was dried and evaporated under reduced pressure to give 14a. Off-white solid ( $42 \%$ yield; EtOAc/light petroleum); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 1.34(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7), 2.17-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=8), 4.31-4.37(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.03(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61-6.62$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.4), 7.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.2 (s, 1H). IR (KBr): 3220, 1745, 667.

Synthesis of 5-[3-(5-Amino-2-furan-2-ylpyrazolo[4,3-e]-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidin-7-yl)propyl]benzo[1,3]dioxole 2,2-Dicarboxylic Acid (14c). To a solution of 14 f ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dioxane ( 5 mL ), aqueous 2 N KOH ( 1 mL ) was added, and the mixture was refluxed for 6 h . Then the pH was adjusted to around 3 with aqueous $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$, and the solution was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried and evaporated under reduced pressure to give $\mathbf{1 4 c}$ as a pale yellow sol id ( $89 \%$ yield; EtOAc/light petroleum). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): 1.98-2.07 (m, 2H), 2.54 (t, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6$ ), 3.52 (bbs, 2H), $4.28(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6), 6.71-6.75(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H ), 6.9-6.94 (m, 2H), 7.16 (d, 1H, J $=4.2$ ), $7.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15$ (bs, 2H), 8.17 (s, 1H). IR (KBr): 3189, 1698, 677.

Synthesis of 5-[3-(5-Amino-2-furan-2-ylpyrazolo[4,3-e]-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidin-7-yl)propyl]benzo[1,3]dioxole 2,2-Dicarboxylic Disodium Salt (14e). The compound 14c ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in aqueous 0.04 M NaOH ( 2 mL ), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h . Then the solvent was removed in vacuo to afford 14e as white sol id ( $97 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): 2.012.11 (m, 2H), 2.45 (t, 2H, J = 6), 4.06 (t, 2H, J = 6), 6.62-6.72 $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5), 7.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{IR}$ (KBr): 2540-3350, 1640, 667

Synthesis of 5-[3-(5-Amino-2-furan-2-ylpyrazolo[4,3-e]-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidin-7-yl)propyl]benzo[1,3]dioxole 2-Carboxylic Acid (14d). Aqueous 1 N NaOH ( 2 mL ) was added to a solution of $\mathbf{1 6 a}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.042 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 2 mL ), and the mixture was refluxed for 30 min . Then the solution was cooled, and the pH was adjusted to around 3 with acqueous 1 N HCl and extracted with EtOAc ( $4 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried and evaporated under reduced pressure to afford 14d. Pale yellow solid ( $98 \%$ yield; EtOAc/light petroleum); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 2.11 (t, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6$ ), 3.34 (bs, 1H), 4.25 (t, 2H, J = 6), $6.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69-6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83-$ 6.87 (m, 2H), 7.22 (d, 1H , J = 3.2), $7.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 8.17 (s, 1H). IR (KBr): 3230, 1710, 687.

Synthesis of \{5-[3-(5-Amino-2-furan-2-ylpyrazolo[4,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidin-7-yl)propyl]-2-hydroxy-methylbenzo[1,3]dioxol-2-yl\}methanol (14b). To a solution of $\mathbf{1 4 f}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ in distilled THF ( 10 mL ), $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 20$ equiv) was added in small portions, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . Then EtOAc ( 15 mL ) and water ( 10 mL ) were added, and the organic phase was separated and washed with water $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated NaCl solution. The organic layer was dried and evaporated to give 14b as white solid ( $70 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }^{2}$ ): 2.18-2.25 (m, 2H), $2.49(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7$ ), $3.86(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.4)$, $4.2(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.4), 4.32(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7), 6.40-6.53(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 6.73 (bs, 2H), 7.24 (d, 1H, J = 5), $7.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{IR}$ (KBr): 3345, 3256, 1610, 677.

Synthesis of 6-[3-(5-Amino-2-furan-2-ylpyrazolo[4,3-e]-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidin-7-yl)propyl]benzo[1,3]dioxole 5-Sulfonic Acid (13). To a suspension of $5(50 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ in distilled $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ cooled at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added chlorosulfonic acid (3 drops), and the mixture was stirred at this temperature for 1 h (till complete dissolution). The solvent was removed, and the residue was taken up with EtOAc ( 7 mL ), and the chlorosulfonic derivative was precipi-
tated by the addition of light petroleum ( 15 mL ). Aqueous $10 \%$ $\mathrm{HCl}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the precipitate suspended in di oxane ( 2 mL ), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 d . The solvent was removed under vacuum, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography ( MeOH / EtOAc 20\%) to give 13 as a white solid ( $52 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $)_{6}$ : $2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7), 4.25(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ 7), $5.97(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71-6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.23(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4), 7.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{IR}$ (KBr): 3432, 1668, 1178, 629.

Determination of $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{m}}$ Values by $\mathbf{C}_{18}$ RP-HPTLC. The HPTLC determinations were carried out on Whatman $K_{18} \mathrm{~F}$ plates as previously described. ${ }^{25}$ Solvent mixtures of methanolwater buffer at pH 7.0 were used as mobile phase. The methanol concentration ranged from $60 \%$ to $90 \%$. The solutes were detected under UV 254-nm light.

Biology. CHO Membranes Preparation. The expression of the human adenosine receptors in CHO cells is described elsewhere. ${ }^{26,27}$ The cells were grown adherently and maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium with nutrient mixture F 12 without nucleosides at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} / 95 \%$ air. Cells were split two or three times weekly, and then the culture medium was removed for membrane preparations. The cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline and scrapped off flasks in ice cold hypotonic buffer ( 5 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, 2 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, pH 7.4). The cell suspension was homogenized with Polytron, and the homogenate was centrifuged for 30 min at 48000 g . The membrane pellet was resuspended in 50 mM TrisHCl buffer at pH 7.4 for $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ adenosine receptors; in 50 mM Tris- HCl and $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}$ at pH 7.4 for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ adenosine receptors; and in 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}$, and 1 mM EDTA at pH 7.4 for $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ adenosine receptors. They were utilized for binding assay. HEK-293 cells transfected with the human recombinant $A_{2 B}$ adenosine receptor were obtained from Receptor Biology, Inc. (Beltsville, MD).

Binding Assays of the Human Cloned $A_{1}, A_{2 A}, A_{2 B}$, and $A_{3}$ Adenosine Receptor. Receptor Binding Assays. Binding of $[3 \mathrm{H}]$-DPCPX to CHO cells transfected with the human recombinant $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ adenosine receptor was performed according to the method previously described by Lohse et al. ${ }^{18}$

Displacement experiments were performed for 120 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 0.20 mL of buffer containing $1 \mathrm{nM}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-D P C P X, 20$ $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of diluted membranes ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of protein/assay) and at least 6-8 different concentrations of examined compounds. Nonspecific binding was determined in the presence of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of CHA, and this is always $\leq 10 \%$ of the total binding.

Binding of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-\mathrm{SCH} 58261$ to HEK-293 cells transfected with the human recombinant $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ adenosine receptors (Research Biomedical International, Natick, MA) (20 $\mu \mathrm{g}$ of protein/ assay) was performed according to Zocchi et al. ${ }^{20}$ In competition studies, at least 6-8 different concentrations of compounds were used, and nonspecific binding was determined in the presence of $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ NECA for an incubation time of 60 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Binding of [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-DPCPX to HEK-293 cells (Receptor Biol ogy Inc., Beltsville, MD) transfected with the human recombinant $\mathrm{A}_{2 B}$ adenosine receptors was performed as al ready described by Varani et al. ${ }^{19}$ In particular, assays were carried out for 60 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 0.1 mL of 50 mM Tris- HCl buffer, 10 mM $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, and 0.1 mM benzamidine, $\mathrm{pH} 7.4,2 \mathrm{IU} /$ mL adenosine deaminase containing 40 nM [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-DPCPX, diluted membranes ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of protein/assay), and at least 6-8 different concentration of tested compounds. Nonspecific binding was determined in the presence of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ NECA and was always $\leq 30 \%$ of the total binding.

Binding of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-\mathrm{MRE} 3008-\mathrm{F} 20$ to CHO cells transfected with the human recombinant $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ adenosine receptors was performed according to Varani et al. ${ }^{19}$ Competition experiments were carried out in duplicate in a finale volume of $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ in test tubes containing $1 \mathrm{nM}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-\mathrm{MRE} 3008-\mathrm{F} 20,50 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris-HCl buffer, $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2, \mathrm{pH} 7.4$, and $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of diluted membranes ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of protein $/ \mathrm{mL}$ ) and at least 6-8 different concentrations of examined ligands. Incubation time was 120 min at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, according to the results of previous time-course
experiments. ${ }^{19}$ Nonspecific binding was defined as binding in the presence of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ MRE3008-F 20 and was about 25\% of total binding. Bound and free radioactivity were separated by filtering the assay mixture through Whatman GF/B glass fiber filters using a Micro-M ate 196 cell harvester (Packard Instrument Company). The filter-bound radioactivity was counted on Top Count (efficiency $57 \%$ ) with Micro-Scint 20. The protein concentration was determined according to a Bio-Rad method ${ }^{28}$ with bovine al bumin as reference standard.

A weighted nonlinear least-squares curve-fitting program LIGAND was used for computer analysis of inhibition experiments. ${ }^{29}$ Inhibitory binding constant, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$, values were calculated from the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values according to the Cheng and Prusoff equation, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{I} \mathrm{C}_{50} /\left(1+\left[\mathrm{C}^{*}\right] / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{*}\right)$, where $\left[\mathrm{C}^{*}\right]$ is the concentration of the radioligand and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{*}$ is its dissociation constant. ${ }^{30}$
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